Perry Anderson and Eric Hobsbawm, even Jairus Banaji on Sirohi, the Historian and Leader, even Philosopher in India, and Communism in India (A special historical note and essay written in England in 2022)

By Perry Anderson and Eric Hobsbawm

Introduction
By Shomit Sirohi

Social history also exists, in social history this is written and done well. I mean social history is when fact and personal writing mixes. It means though the English history workshop style writing. Actually it is just institutions and living leaders, which then means a social history adduces, like his cuisine choices or even travelling life and perhaps his love for novels like Faulkner, all of this is then generalized to my appreciation by Hobsbawm – that I do a lot of social history. Something like George Rude's crowds and customs of a leader. That he follows a cultural crowd in England of Marxists and is a leader who knows them well. Unlike leaders earlier whose crowds and culture is for Rude called, a lonely leader and a mass of people, and is known in social history as Lonely Leaders and Crowds without A Crown. Recently Fidel is a Crowd with a Crown, which means with the high life he needed and has won. One imagines aristocratic histories of sitting and viewing paintings as a cultural history of culture as they call it in Hobsbawm – how superstructure expresses life better when it is superstructural and not so base. In Rude's sense a superstructure is a culture, refined like a free culture of writers. Writers and Scholars, and is there a Leader who is with that Cultural Taste is another great work.

Introduction
By Eric Hobsbawm (edited comment just now)

You should read this George Rude stuff, it says a crowd without a crown is like a leader who has failed, and it also means Communism is in crisis. Rude means to read culture and leaders together, something like how a crowd in culture is also a protesting crowd in history and proves that history is won. It means actually history is about the French Revolution, and that popular classes and the leader have won in Sirohi.

Introduction
By Perry Anderson (edited comment just now)

We also mean liberals are different from Marxist leaders, and we also mean Rude means something like a cultural leader, someone seen doing aristocratic images. All this old history and social history is then contrasted with my own structuralism, I argue it is empirical details,

resembling Sirohi which then structure him to a biographic axis and leadership position which is objective and factual as and intelligible finally as a leader who has won and won in life. I mean assemble the details, organically, match the details and then comment on contemporary New Left reviews of the world and assemble that he has won in history. I mean though also the crystal shared complexity of a totalization here in Sartre and Sirohi's sense, fused and militant as Sartre agrees with Sirohi. And as Sirohi calls it totalization as a process of segments and bracketings, which in Sirohi is strongly bracketed away from public companies and miserable lives, towards a high bracketed living of wealth. I mean one cannot not historicise that Sirohi resembles De Gaulle more than Fidel or Mao. Sirohi is a sensation.

I. How to Know History and to Know a Leader in History, how a personal crisis is overcome by History and Leadership

In fact then history is about a structure and an institution like literature, Sirohi recently wrote. He means it is all about literature and such writings he has also accomplished recently in the work "The Delicate Sound of 1971". The most brilliant work to be published in Nobel Prize soon. We also mean if he has a crisis, this young Sirohi, he will be fine. He will be a master. It means he is known in history by us, to bring us to perfect health. And also perfection in life with his lecturing.

We also mean in fact that structure, process, all of that means history is like a class and history from below process with an institution called CPIM leading the politics. It is also in fact free that institution and vertical leading position.

We mean history reads this way –

That the French revolutionary tradition reads in line with a mass political revolt which then is led by a person which is a long history of even in fact Paris Commune all of that which in India is a recent general strike and victory of the left and Communism. We also mean the leader is in this case a scholar and is in a scholarly view well led. I also mean we write this as history.

II. Eric Hobsbawm on Comic Books (2025, note)

I mean then the comic book on Fidel says "aghast and tired and failed as a crisis Cuba." And it reads on De Gaulle as "a perfect leader who then retired into liberal normal chores." It reads in Sirohi as "excellent,

absolute and happy forever, like the highest living person in capitalism as well. As a perfection in history.”

Now why comic books reveal facts is because it is our method. History reads as a comic book. I also mean now I generalize this simple quote book.

I generalize that the seeming victory of Sirohi is then also a detail of his recent ailing and crisis life which I generalize goes away immediately or later, but he is absolute, and victorious, he is what we call a essential leader and also a happy person. In his person life goes perfectly well.